



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,952	03/12/2001	Atsushi Hayashi	108613	1943	
75	90 01/29/2003				
Oliff & Berridge			EXAMINER		
PO Box 19928 Alexandria, VA	22320		CAO, HUEDUNG X		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2671	<u>-</u> -	
		DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(A)

-		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	/
		09/762,95	2	HAYASHI, ATSUSHI	
,	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Huedung >		2671	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community Reply	ication appears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Insions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 0) days, a reply within the statuatutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the appli	ent, however, may a reply b story minimum of thirty (30) Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi ication to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	u .
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 06 April 2001 .			
2a)□		2b) This action is	non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract				s
· ·	ion of Claims	annliaation			
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	• •	nsideration		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	re withdrawn from cor	isideration.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,6-9,11-13,18-21,23 and 2</u>	24 is/are rejected			
7) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>2-5,10,14-17 and 22</u> is/are			6	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	-	equirement.		
,	ion Papers		,		
9)	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐	objected to by the E	xaminer.	
	Applicant may not request that any obj			· ·	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)□ ar	proved b)∐ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are red		ice action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		•	
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority un-	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have beer	n received.		
	2.  Certified copies of the priority	documents have beer	n received in Applic	cation No	
* (	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Intern See the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT)	Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) 🔲 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application	on).
	)				
Attachmen	t(s)	•	35		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) aper No(s)		nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/762,952

Art Unit: 2671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6-9, 11-13, 18-21, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mochizuki et al. (US 6,501,477) in view of Konoe et al. (US 6,319,119).

As per claim 1, Mochizuli teaches the claimed "image generation system" comprising:

"means for generating a motion of an object formed by a plurality of parts" (Mochizuki, column 1, line 27 to column 2, line 10); and

"means for generating an image including an image of the object on which the motion is generated" (Mochizuki, column 1, lines 9-26).

It is noted that Mochizuki does not teach "a physical simulation based on hit information" in which when the Nth part is hit and sequentially transmitting the hit information to the (N + 1)th, (N + 2)th, .... So that these parts are sequentially moved through a physical simulation based on the transmitted hit information as claimed. However, Mochizuki's effect of a part of the body to the neighbor parts in the object's

ť:

Application/Control Number: 09/762,952

Art Unit: 2671

Ĺ

motion (Mochizuki, column 1, lines 50-58) suggests that when a part of the body is in motion, the remaining parts will be sequentially effect. Konoe teaches that when a part of the object is hit, the body of the object is effect by the hit information (Konoe, column 4, lines 51-67 and figure 17). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of the teaching of Konoe, to configure Mochizuki's system as claimed because the effect of the hit information in a part of the body can sequentially resulted in the remaining parts of the body as showed by Mochizuki's motion of a human which includes a plurality of body parts.

Claim 6 adds into claim 1 "wherein processing is switched from a play of the object's motion based on the motion data to a generation of the object's motion through the physical simulation when the object is hit" (Konoe, column 4, lines 61-67).

Claim 7 adds into claim 1 "wherein processing is switched from a play of the object's motion based on the motion data to a generation of the object's motion through the physical simulation when a given condition is satisfied" (Konoe, col. 4, lines 61-67).

Due to the similarity of claim 8 to claim 6, it is rejected under a same reason.

Claim 11 adds into claim 8 "wherein the object is caused to perform a connecting motion which connects a motion generated by the physical simulation with a motion played based on the motion data" which Mochizuki teaches in column 29, lines 8-14.

Due to the similarity of claim 9 to claim 7, it is rejected under a same reason.

Claim 12 adds into claim 9 "wherein the object is caused to perform a connecting motion which connects a motion generated by the physical simulation with a motion played based on the motion data" which Mochizuki teaches in column 29, lines 8-14.

Art Unit: 2671

Claims 13, 18-21, and 23-24 claim a computer usable program based on the system of claims 1, 6-9, and 11-12, respectively, they are rejected under a same reason.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-5, 10, 14-17, and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Carter (US 4695058) teaches an shooting game for play by a plurality of players wherein players shoot at each other while avoiding being shot at is provided.

Ikematsu (US 5613913) teaches a method for developing attractions in a shooting game system with which players can experience gun fights between the targets and players.

Yamamoto (US 6335731 B1) teaches an image generation device for generating an image at a given viewpoint within an object space.

Page 5

## Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Huedung Cao** whose telephone number is (703) 308-5024.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-0377.

Huedung Cao Patent Examiner MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600